Chapter 45, *The Bacteriophages* 2nd edition, R. Calendar (ed), Oxford University Press

brought to you by <u>www.thebacteriophages.org</u> & <u>www.phage.org</u>

Table 45-4. Commonly used for Assessment of Water Quality

Host	Strain	Strengths	Weaknesses	Stability
<i>S. typhimurium</i> (F ⁻)	WG45	Detects somatic Salmonella phages	Shows only somatic attack	
S. typhimurium (F ⁺)	WG49	Reported to be selective for F- RNA phages. Low rate of F ⁻ plasmid segregation. Kanamycin & nalidixic acid resistant	Not specific to F- RNA phages: also susceptible to attack by salmonella somatic phages and F-DNA phages. Somatic salmonella phages cause major interference	An unstable strain and unpredictability loses its ability to plaque F-specific phages
E. coli (F ⁻)	CN, CN13	Nalidixic acid resistant strain		
E. coli (F ⁻)	K-12		Show somatic attack	
<i>E. coli</i> (K-12 F ⁺)	WG21, Α/λ, Q13		Susceptible to F- DNA phage attack. Also, plaque somatic T phages. Highly inefficient for enumeration of naturally occurring FRNA phages.	
E. coli (F ⁻)	В		Produces plaque counts 5-6 times lower. Also, plaque somatic T phages.	
E. coli (F ⁻)	С	More plaques, highest counts. Nalidixic acid resistant. Most suitable for isolating DNA somatic phages, especially temperature phages		Plaque somatic T phages
E. coli (F ⁺)	C-3000		May be infected by some somatic coliphages. Majority of phages were somatic	
<i>E. coli</i> (K-12 F ⁺)	W3110			
E. coli	R AMP, RR	Ampicillin and Streptomycin resistant. Gives the highest % of detection for FRNA phages	Low counts and susceptible to FDNA phage attack	E. coli RR, stable

Modified from LeClerc *et al.* (32)